

Application No. 10/748,411
Response dated June 30, 2006
Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2006

Remarks

Claims 1-24 are presented for consideration. Applicants enclose a 37 C.F.R. 1.131 declaration. Applicants will address this issue in the following pages. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, reconsideration of the present application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Responses to Rejections

By way of the Office Action mailed April 4, 2006, claims 1-4, 6-9, 12, 18-21, 23, and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated and thus unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2005/0096612 to Davis *et al.* (the Davis application). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants submit a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131, by inventor Lisa L. Bushman, swearing behind the Davis application reference and thereby obviating the Davis application reference as prior art in this case. As such, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

By way of the Office Action mailed April 4, 2006, claims 5, 10, 11, 13, and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and thus unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2005/0096612 to Davis *et al.* (the Davis application). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed above, the Davis application has been removed as prior art. Therefore, a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been made and Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

By way of the Office Action mailed April 4, 2006, claims 14-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application No. 10/748,411
Response dated June 30, 2006
Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2006

time the invention was made and thus unpatentable U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2005/0096612 to Davis *et al.* (the Davis application) in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,297,424 to Olson *et al.* (the Olson patent). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed above, the Davis application has been removed as prior art. Therefore, a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been made and Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.


Please charge any prosecution fees that are due to Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. deposit account number 11-0875.

The undersigned may be reached at: (920) 721-8863.

Respectfully submitted,

PAULA M. SOGALLA ET AL

By



Randall W. Fieldhack
Registration No. 43,611
Attorney for Applicants

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I, Mary L. Roberts, hereby certify that on June 30, 2006 this document is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (571) 273-8300.


Mary L. Roberts